

BROOKFIELD WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY

Wednesday, June 27, 2018 Room 133 7:00 p.m.

APPROVED MINUTES

1. **Convene Meeting:** Chair N. Malwitz convened the meeting at 7:00pm and introduced the members of the Authority.

WPCA:

N. Malwitz, Chair
L. Trojanowski-Marconi, Vice Chair
T.E. Lopez
M. Brown
L. Donovan

Others:

R. Prinz, Maintenance Manager
D. Will, Inspector
C. Utschig, Engineer
J. Sienkiewicz, Attorney
M. Allred, Accountant
J. Siclari, Director
K. McPadden, Executive
Administrator
M. Ongaro, Collector
A. Kennedy, Recording

PUBLIC HEARING: Proposed Levy of Benefit Assessments: Chair N. Malwitz read the legal notice that was published for the public hearing in the Pennysaver and Danbury News Times on June 14, 2018. He explained the specifics of the project, how the assessments are calculated and the payment options.

Questions from the public:

Bonnie Balenski, 21 Canterbury Ct., asked if they sell their condo, can they pass it to the next person. Chair N. Malwitz replied yes, it will be in the land records and the remaining balance would pass on for subsequent years. She also said she closed on her condo on October 5th and asked if her assessment should be less. Chair N. Malwitz said no, and explained the assessment process.

Roseanne Lionetti, 9 Eden Ct., asked about the difference between this assessment and a large sum she paid back in the end of 2015. Chair N. Malwitz said that 2015 payment was for the water assessment, not sewer. Jim McCauley, President of the Board, Rollingwood explained the water payment was for the line going down. K. McPadden discussed deferred assessments for people who get tax benefits from the Town. She asked if it could be opened up to these people since the deadline to apply had already passed. J. Sienkiewicz discussed the issue and options, noting if they have already filed for a tax deferral or abatement with the Town, they could probably allow them to file with the WPCA until a certain date.

Jim McCauley, President of the Board, Rollingwood asked a question about the topic of a letter from a resident on the agenda. N. Malwitz said the resident was caught off guard by the assessment and they gave him requested information. J. McCauley spoke to Rollingwood tenants, explaining the sewer pump house was costing Rollingwood Condos \$30,000-\$40,000 a year out of the common charges. He said this project is moving them in the right direction.

T. E. Lopez made a motion to close the public hearing. L. Donovan seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

- 2. Approval of Minutes-5/23/18-Vice Chair L. Trojanowski-Marconi made a motion to approve the minutes from 5/23/18. M. Brown seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.**

3. Correspondence

- a. Email from Donna Kornhaas, President, Brookfield Office Park Association, Inc., dated 6/5/18, Re: 304 Federal Road Invoices to Tenants
Thomas Wilkinson, Attorney, Collins Hannifin, representing Brookfield Office Park Association, Inc. was present.
Donna Kornhaas, President, Brookfield Office Park Association, Inc. was present.
Heidi Redd, Board Member, Brookfield Office Park Association, Inc.

T. Wilkinson said Brookfield Office Park is located at 304 Federal Road and is a three floored building consisting of 52 units. He said there are only 37 business operations, as some businesses have multiple units within the building. He said each floor is served by a community men's and women's bathroom as well as 16 units having water fixtures in their units and 21 units not having water at all. T. Wilkinson said the new bill sent out on June 1st had a substantial change in the billing structure for the office park. He said this change represents a pretty drastic increase both in terms of units for the Association and the amount of cost for each individual owner. He said they would like to know how the decision was made to make this change in the billing structure and try to come to an agreement or a revision of the billing amount per unit owner as it seems to be drastically high. Chair N. Malwitz said they would have to look in to it before commenting. D. Will said Mary Ongaro discovered that when she came across some closings the Commission did not have as customers. J. Sienkiewicz then read from the WPCA regulations regarding commercial establishments. He said he believes they are being billed in accordance with the regulations at this point, and had been underbilled previously. J. Sienkiewicz asked if they have a specific proposal. T. Wilkinson proposed they go to 30 units for the entire Association from 50.5 units now. He said if you look at actual water usage and what you consider a unit to be, it more than covers the water usage. Chair N. Malwitz said only about 30% of the bill is tied to water usage, the rest is trucks, infrastructure, etc. J. Sienkiewicz said it is the WPCA's policy to bill each property owner. M. Ongaro said last year they billed the property owners that they were aware of, and the Association for the common bathrooms. Correcting the mistake every property owner is now billed correctly. The Association is no longer billed for the common bathrooms. D. Kornhaas asked if all the units were billed the same whether they had a water source in their units or not. K. McPadden said the units were billed based on the number of employees. M. Ongaro said dental offices, hair salons, etc. get billed according to regulations regarding their use. T. Wilkinson asked in terms of the state statute, about the change being made prior to a public hearing. N. Malwitz said any change would be correcting a clerical error, which can be done retroactively, not changing the billing structure. But billing for the entire property will be reviewed.

- b. Letter from James Bartolo, resident, dated 6/15/18 with response from J. Siclari. Discussion followed.

4. New Business

- a. To act upon proposed levy of Benefit Assessments: K. McPadden said four names need to be changed on Schedule A and read the names and addresses for J. Sienkiewicz to update the document. J. Sienkiewicz added paragraph 5a between paragraphs 5 & 6: "Applications for deferral in the payment of the Rollingwood Sewer Extension Benefit Assessments under the Authority's October 26, 2016 Elderly/Disabled Deferral Policy may be filed for the initial billing not later than August 15, 2018."

Vice Chair L. Trojanowski-Marconi made a motion to adopt the Resolution as amended with the addition of paragraph 5a and the new owners. M. Brown seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

- b. 807 Federal Rd.-Request for Grease Trap Waiver: D. Will said he is requiring them to install and under sink unit that would be tied in, in tandem with the existing in the ground under sink unit that we made the yogurt shop put in. They will have a three bay sink, but no dishwasher, as there will be no dishes, only paper plates. **Vice Chair L. Trojanowski-Marconi made a motion to accept the application for connection and grease trap waiver in tandem and the unit must be inspected and approved by the inspector. T. E. Lopez seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.**

5. Old Business

- a. 37 Old Route 7 (Informal discussion in May)
K. McPadden said in May they had an informal discussion with Paul Scalzo and Mike Lillis regarding the sewer extension that they wanted to do and it did go to the Board of Selectman and the Planning Commission and they both gave their support for the project granting the Section 8-24 approval. Now they have to go to Planning to do their subdivision and get all their Land Use approvals.

6. Accountant Report

- a. Monthly Financials: M. Allred reviewed the monthly financials.
- b. FY19 Budget: K. McPadden, J. Siclari, and R. Prinz revised the budget as presented. K. McPadden explained the revisions. **Vice Chair L. Trojanowski-Marconi made a motion to adopt the budget as presented. L. Donovan seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.**

7. Employee Activity Reports (John, Roger, Dave, Mary, Kristi)

- a. John: J. Siclari said regarding 53 Commerce Road the furniture is due in mid-July and he has started a punch list of things that need to be done. He said the final painting has started, retaining wall is completed in the back, the first coat of paving is done, the stairs are completed but they had the wrong treads and they have to order new treads. He said HVAC is in, the lighting is complete, the electrician is installing the garage fans and hooking up the power to the overhead door. The countertops are in except the special blue one for the transaction counter and the carpets are next. J. Siclari said he needs the approvals for the job descriptions tabled at the last meeting,

approval to start a process for a full time employee, and to set a closing date for 53 Commerce Road. He also noted using the GIS collector for asset management database, which will be discussed during the Engineering Update.

- b. Roger: Status Quo
- c. Dave: See Report
- d. Mary: M. Ongaro reported liens were filed in May. Use and Benefit Assessment Collections are currently in process until July 2. After July 2 last fees are added.
- e. Kristi: K. McPadden said she satisfied a FOI request, worked on N. Malwitz's Use Study Report, assessment deferrals are set for this current year, worked on the budget, the 2% capital cost recovery connection charge estimate for the budget, the Rollingwood public hearing mailing and the approval letter for 30 Pocono Road.

8. Engineer Comments/Project Update

- a. Use Charge Study: Chair N. Malwitz said we finished uploading all the data onto a web-based program for ease of analysis. C. Utschig said it's been organized and it's a lot of information. The Authority discussed the details of the study. C. Utschig said the one thing the WPCA should consider, due to the amount of time and effort spent, is to send one bill to multi-tenant properties. Chair N. Malwitz said he is interested in getting actual water meter readings. C. Utschig said every use should have their own unique characteristics to how you bill them, some are employees, some are specific fixture types and some are by square foot.
- b. Caldor Meter Proposal: C. Utschig said he gave R. Prinz and D. Will copies of the contract documents and they are just waiting for the electrical component and it should be ready to go to bid in a couple of weeks.
- c. CWF Construction Application Status-Dean Road: C. Utschig said this item should come off the agenda as they are not pursuing anything on the Dean Road project right now.
- d. CWF Studies Application Status-I&I and Candlewood Lake: C. Utschig said I&I also should come off the agenda.
- e. Water Pollution Facilities Plan Update: Chair N. Malwitz said he would like to send out RFQ (Request for Qualification) documents to get engineering companies to give their capabilities to do an analysis on the Candlewood Shores sewerage. The WPCA would not contract with the engineering firm until they had an agreement with the State to fund their 55%. C. Utschig discussed the next steps involved in this process.
- f. Commerce Road Force Main Discharge Manhole: C. Utschig said he has worked with R. Prinz to come up with a conceptual design and they are waiting on the survey. As soon as the survey work is done they will put the design documents today. R. Prinz said the survey work won't start until July 1st.
- g. GIS Collector as Asset Management Database: C. Utschig said it's his understanding that they want to look into using the GIS Collector as a way to manage the assets.
- h. Other Engineering Matters: No discussion.

9. Legal Matters

- a. Permanent Maintenance Agreements: J. Sienkiewicz said the only left is Rollingwood.

- b. Other Legal Matters: J. Sienkiewicz said he got the materials regarding the grease trap at Full Belly Deli. J. Siclari said they did pump it but D. Will went out there recently and it needs to be pumped on a quarterly basis. D. Will asked if he can compel them to hire a pumper on a quarterly basis to give us a contract to say its done. J. Sienkiewicz said he will have to look into it. Chair N. Malwitz asked J. Siclari to take point on this project.

10. Other WPCA Business

- a. WPCA New Office Update: Chair N. Malwitz said the week of August 13th is the target date for closing and he spoke to Rich Longo and he is ok with that. J. Sienkiewicz said he is scheduled to be on trial that week. Chair N. Malwitz said they could close earlier but just not move in until the week of August 13th.
- b. Rollingwood Update: R. Prinz said the project is done.
- c. Job Description Approvals: Chair N. Malwitz said he will get these out to the Commission.
- d. Full Time Employee: Chair N. Malwitz asked J. Siclari to send the job descriptions to the Commission members for review.
- e. Food Trucks: D. Will discussed the issue that there are more and more food trucks in Town on a day to day basis and for events. They need to discuss what these trucks are doing with their grease. The WPCA needs to discuss possibly putting in a facility with a tank so they can dump it, or other options. D. Will said they should consider having them pull a permit with the WPCA, in addition to their Health Department permit. J. Siclari will talk to the Health Department about this topic.
- f. Other WPCA Matters

11. Vouchers: Vice Chair L. Trojanowski-Marconi made a motion to approve the vouchers as presented. T. E. Lopez seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

12. Executive Session-To discuss personnel issues-Vice Chair L. Trojanowski-Marconi made a motion to table the Executive Session. L. Donovan seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

13. Adjournment- Vice Chair L. Trojanowski-Marconi made a motion to adjourn at 9:49 p.m. Chair N. Malwitz seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING BENEFIT ASSESSMENTS
ROLLINGWOOD SEWER EXTENSION

WHEREAS the Water Pollution Control Authority, acting for the Town of Brookfield, has constructed municipal sewer extension known as the Rollingwood Sewer Extension at an estimated project cost of \$570,000.00; and

WHEREAS following a public hearing at which the affected property owners were given the opportunity to be heard, the Authority has determined that benefit assessments should be levied upon the lands and buildings, and upon the owners thereof, which are especially benefited by said Rollingwood Sewer Extension; and

Whereas the Authority has given consideration to the comments made at public hearing and the factors set forth in Connecticut General Statutes, Section 7-249:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Brookfield Water Pollution Control Authority that:

- 1) The lands and buildings set forth on Schedule A attached hereto and made a part hereof are found to be especially benefited by the Rollingwood Sewer Extension.
- 2) The total benefits which have accrued to such land and buildings as a result of the construction of the Rollingwood Sewer Extension which are to be recovered through the levy of benefit assessments is \$570,000.00.
- 3) A benefit assessment is levied against each such property, and the owner thereof, in the principal amount set forth on Schedule A. Said amount represents 2.35 percent (2.35%) of such property's assessed value on the October 1, 2017 Grand List of the Town of Brookfield (October 1, 2016 revaluation).
- 4) Such benefit assessments shall be due and payable on **September 1, 2018**, provided that at the option of the owner, the assessment may be paid **in 10 equal installments**, together with interest on the unpaid principal amount of such benefit assessment at the rate of **4.0% per annum** or at such other rate of interest not to exceed the maximum rate of interest which the Town of Brookfield is obligated to pay to finance said project as determined by the Authority to be appropriate. The **first installment** of the benefit assessments levied with respect to the Rollingwood Sewer Extension shall be due and payable on **September 1, 2018**, and **all subsequent installments** shall be payable on June 1 commencing with the second installment which shall be due on **June 1, 2019**. If any such installment remains unpaid for thirty (30) days after the same shall become due and payable, then at the option of the Authority, the entire unpaid balance of such benefit assessment, together with all unpaid interest, shall become immediately due and payable. In addition, the Authority shall have all of the rights provided by Section 7-254 of the Connecticut General Statutes, as amended, with respect to delinquent assessments.

5) Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of paragraph 4, any property owner may prepay any or all installments for which such property owner is liable at any time prior to the due date thereof and no interest on any such prepaid installment shall be charged beyond the date of such payment.

5a) Applications for deferral in the payment of the Rollingwood Sewer Extension Benefit Assessments under the Authority's October 26, 2016 Elderly/Disabled Deferral Policy may be filed for the initial billing not later than August 15, 2018.

6) Properties which are not set forth on **Schedule A** but which connect directly or indirectly to the Rollingwood Sewer Extension and benefitted properties set forth on **Schedule A** that are improved subsequent to **October 1, 2017** so as to increase their assessed valuation for tax purposes, shall be subject to a benefit assessment or a supplemental benefit assessment determined in the following manner:

A. For residential properties, including residential condominium units:

Step One: Determination of Assessment Valuation

a) The assessment for tax purposes of the subject property as of the date that such benefit assessment or supplemental benefit assessment is to be levied shall be adjusted to October 1, 2016. The purpose of such adjustment is to equalize the then current assessed value of the subject property for tax purposes to the value it would have had had it been assessed as part of the original levy of benefit assessments in 2018.¹

b) The current assessment for tax purposes shall be adjusted to October 1, 2016 using the House Price Index Statistical Report - *All Transactions Index*² for Connecticut as published by the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) with the base index number for October 1, 2016 fixed at 395.99 (i.e., 2016 Q3 = 395.99).

c) The ratio between the index number³ for the third quarter of the last revaluation year (as published on the date that the proposed benefit assessment or proposed supplemental benefit assessment is calculated⁴) and the October 1, 2016 index number (395.99) shall be established by dividing the 2016 index number (395.99) by the index number for the third quarter of the last

¹ Note: The 2018 Rollingwood benefit assessments are based on tax assessments on the October 1, 2017 Grand List. The 2017 Grand List is presumed to be equalized to the October 1, 2016 revaluation by the Tax Assessor as required by State law.

² Currently at https://www.fhfa.gov/DataTools/Downloads/Documents/HPI/HPI_AT_state.txt

³ Since the benefit assessment or supplemental benefit assessment is based on the October 1 assessment, the third quarter (Q3) index number for the particular year should be used if it is available. Otherwise the second quarter (Q2) index number should be used.

⁴ The historical HPI index numbers are updated quarterly and as a result are subject to change. It is presumed that the change is within the range of the standard error as published as part of the HPI. As such, the index number to be used is the number as published on the date that the calculation is made.

revaluation year. The quarterly index number is for the third quarter of the last revaluation year, not necessarily the year in which the supplemental benefit assessment is levied⁵.

d). The current tax assessment⁶ (based upon the last revaluation year) shall then be multiplied by the ratio between the 2016 index number (395.99) and the index number for the third quarter of the last revaluation year to determine the equalized assessed value of the subject property as of October 1, 2016.

Step Two: Calculation of Benefit or Supplemental Benefit Assessment

e) The benefit assessment or supplemental benefit assessment shall be levied in an amount equal to **2.35 percent (2.35%)** of such property's equalized assessed value as of October 1, 2016 determined in accordance with Step One.

The Resolution adopted March 21, 2007, concerning Benefit Assessment Adjustments - Federal Road Sewer Project, paragraph 7(A)(c), (d) and (e) contains examples of how the calculations is to be made with respect to residential properties after the next property tax revaluation.

B. For industrial and commercial properties, including industrial or commercial condominiums and apartment houses:

Step One: Determination of Assessment Valuation

aa. The assessment for tax purposes of the subject property as of the date that such benefit assessment or supplemental benefit assessment is to be levied shall be adjusted to October 1, 2016. The purpose of such adjustment is to equalize the current assessed value of the subject property for tax purposes to the value it would have had had it been assessed as part of the original levy of benefit assessments in 2018.⁷

bb. The current assessment for tax purposes shall be adjusted to October 1, 2016 using the Industrial Cost Trend data published by Factory Mutual Insurance Company within the FM Global Property Loss Prevention Data Sheets and entitled "Industrial Cost Trends"⁸ *for New England* with the base index number for October 1, 2016 fixed at 357.00 (**i.e., 2016 = 357.00**).

cc. The ratio between the index for July of the last revaluation year⁹ (as published on the date that the proposed benefit assessment or proposed supplemental benefit assessment is

⁵ If the supplemental assessment is levied in 2020, the index number utilized would be for 2016 Q3, since 2016 would be the last revaluation year prior to 2020.

⁶ The 2018 benefit assessments are based on the 2017 Grand List tax assessments that are presumed to be equalized to the 2016 revaluation year by the Tax Assessor in accordance with State law.

⁷ Note: The 2018 benefit assessments are based on tax assessments on the October 1, 2017 Grand List. The October 1, 2017 Grand List is presumed to be equalized to the 2016 revaluation as required by State law.

⁸ See <http://www.fmglobal.com/FMGlobalRegistration/Vshared/FMDS0903.pdf>

⁹ Data is compiled as of January and July of each year. Since the benefit assessment or supplemental benefit assessment is based on the October 1 assessment, the most current index number available (preferably July) should be used.

calculated¹⁰) and the October 1, 2016 index number (**357.00**) shall be established by dividing the 2016 index number (**357.00**) by the New England index number for July of the last revaluation year. The July index number is for July of the last revaluation year, not necessarily the year in which the supplemental benefit assessment is levied.

dd. The current tax assessment shall then be multiplied by the ratio between the 2016 index number (**357.00**) and the July index number for the last revaluation year to determine the equalized assessed value of the subject property as of October 1, 2016.

Step Two: Calculation of Benefit or Supplemental Benefit Assessment

ee. The benefit assessment or supplemental benefit assessment shall be levied in an amount equal to **2.35 percent (2.35%)** of such property's equalized assessed value as of October 1, 2016 determined in accordance with Step One.

The Resolution adopted March 21, 2007, concerning Benefit Assessment Adjustments - Federal Road Sewer Project, paragraph 7(B)(cc), (dd) and (ee) contains examples of how the calculation is to be made with respect to commercial and industrial properties after the next property tax revaluation.

9. Funds derived from all such benefit assessments and supplemental benefit assessments shall be placed in a specially designated *and segregated* fund to be used from time to time in a manner determined by the Authority for one or more of the following purposes: (a) to reimburse the Authority for costs incurred in connection with such project which have not previously been reimbursed by the Town of Brookfield through temporary borrowings, bonds or other debt obligations; (b) to reimburse the Authority for costs incurred in connection with such project in the payment of bond anticipation notes or bond principal and interest prior to the levy of benefit assessments; (c) to meet the payment obligations with respect to any bonds or debt obligations issued by the Town of Brookfield in connection with the Rollingwood Sewer Extension; (d) to reduce, on a proportionate basis, the amounts owed by reason of the benefit assessments and supplemental benefit assessments levied against the properties especially benefitted by the Rollingwood Sewer Extension; and (e) for extensions within the area of and improvements to the Rollingwood Sewer Extension, including any capital projects related to increased use of the Rollingwood Sewer Extension or downstream facilities.

¹⁰ It is assumed that any discrepancy will be within the range of error of the published index number. As such, the index number to be used is the number available as of the date that the calculation is made.

SCHEDULE A
Rollingwood Benefit Assessments

<u>Property Address</u>	<u>Property Owner</u>	<u>Assessment</u>
1 ANDOVER COURT	DETHOMAS, LUCIA & TEDESCO, TERESA c/o M. RAIMO	\$2,306.66
2 ANDOVER COURT	ZULLO-LUBIN, RITA C & LUBIN WAYNE C	\$2,328.07
3 ANDOVER COURT	3 ANDOVER COURT LLC	\$2,012.06
4 ANDOVER COURT	COONEY, BRIDGET M	\$2,158.89
5 ANDOVER COURT	SCHAUM, KAREN & GARY	\$2,306.66
6 ANDOVER COURT	YAN, PHILIP & WU WEI, JUAN	\$2,328.07
7 ANDOVER COURT	LABARBERA, LOUISE M	\$2,012.06
8 ANDOVER COURT	MILLER, BARBARA & NICHOLAS	\$2,158.89
9 ANDOVER COURT	HUSE, MICHAEL T	\$1,996.30
10 ANDOVER COURT	PROCKTER, LAWRENCE & COLLEEN	\$2,083.12
11 ANDOVER COURT	DIBELLA, JENNIFER L	\$1,996.30
12 ANDOVER COURT	CURJAO, FLAVIO	\$2,083.12
13 ANDOVER COURT	GRAVESEN, BARBARA M	\$1,996.30
14 ANDOVER COURT	GIAMMARINO, ROSE M TR	\$2,083.12
15 ANDOVER COURT	AMBLER, CYNTHIA	\$1,996.30
16 ANDOVER COURT	IANNACCONE, CATHERINE E	\$2,083.12
17 ANDOVER COURT	GRECO, DEBORAH L	\$2,306.66
18 ANDOVER COURT	RELL, MARY	\$2,619.14
19 ANDOVER COURT	HENNESSEY, EDWARD A & ROSEMARIE	\$2,012.06
20 ANDOVER COURT	CARLISLE GROUP LLC	\$2,158.89
21 ANDOVER COURT	MELENDEZ, DANIEL	\$2,249.01
22 ANDOVER COURT	GRUNEBERG, JOANN	\$2,249.01
23 ANDOVER COURT	V, M, & M LIONETTI & M MANGAN	\$2,249.01
24 ANDOVER COURT	NARDELLA, WILLIAM	\$2,249.01
25 ANDOVER COURT	SLUTZKIN, LAURIE A	\$2,306.66
26 ANDOVER COURT	DJCD LLC	\$2,328.07
27 ANDOVER COURT	NARDINE, GREGORY	\$2,012.06
28 ANDOVER COURT	STAUFFER ARMENOUGH	\$2,158.89
29 ANDOVER COURT	SOODEK, J & KORNBRUST, P	\$2,012.06
30 ANDOVER COURT	CARNEY, THOMAS	\$2,158.89
31 ANDOVER COURT	PILLA, ALFONSO & LIBERA	\$2,306.66
32 ANDOVER COURT	DURAND, ARLENE	\$2,328.07
1 ARLINGTON COURT	VALLUZZO, RACHEL	\$1,916.53
2 ARLINGTON COURT	CMJ RENTALS LLC	\$1,916.53
3 ARLINGTON COURT	SCHUBERT, LUCY	\$1,916.53
4 ARLINGTON COURT	BARCIA, CAROL	\$1,916.53

5 ARLINGTON COURT	STOCKDALE, MICHAEL	\$2,030.18
6 ARLINGTON COURT	ABRY ASSOCIATES LLC	\$1,916.53
7 ARLINGTON COURT	RAIFORD, THOMAS	\$1,916.53
8 ARLINGTON COURT	NOVAK, MICHAEL & REGINA	\$1,916.53
9 ARLINGTON COURT	BIRD, MICHELE M	\$1,916.53
10 ARLINGTON COURT	MEEHAN, ANNE MARIE	\$1,916.53
1 CANTERBURY COURT	KORN, PETER & EDNA	\$1,916.53
2 CANTERBURY COURT	MEO, SHARON	\$1,916.53
3 CANTERBURY COURT	HEFFERNAN, NOELLE A	\$1,916.53
4 CANTERBURY COURT	GOODMAN, NATASHA	\$1,916.53
5 CANTERBURY COURT	RABSHTYNA, VASILI	\$2,030.18
6 CANTERBURY COURT	PATEL, PRATIMA UMI	\$1,916.53
7 CANTERBURY COURT	FRAGA-ZAPF, MARIANA	\$1,916.53
8 CANTERBURY COURT	FERGUSON, KELLI	\$1,916.53
9 CANTERBURY COURT	FOWLER, KEVIN & PATRICIA	\$1,916.53
10 CANTERBURY COURT	STEWART, ISABELLE	\$1,916.53
11 CANTERBURY COURT	LI, RICHARD & YIMSHEUNG	\$1,971.36
12 CANTERBURY COURT	BORRELLI, L & M C/O J NOLAN	\$2,636.79
13 CANTERBURY COURT	STOPPEL SHIRLEY MAE	\$2,697.03
14 CANTERBURY COURT	GISONNI, KATHERINE	\$2,088.07
15 CANTERBURY COURT	YU, LISA	\$2,913.27
16 CANTERBURY COURT	MENDES, EILEEN	\$2,749.50
17 CANTERBURY COURT	HARTELL, JEFFREY	\$2,166.89
18 CANTERBURY COURT	KNAPP, CHRISTOPHER	\$2,064.07
19 CANTERBURY COURT	BODNER, JAMES	\$1,971.36
20 CANTERBURY COURT	GRADT, MARYANNE c/o LUEBBERT, ROBERTA	\$2,589.49
21 CANTERBURY COURT	BALENSKI BONNIE	\$2,509.73
22 CANTERBURY COURT	BARRETT, TIMOTHY J	\$2,088.07
23 CANTERBURY COURT	GRAB, JOHN R	\$2,589.49
24 CANTERBURY COURT	OLIVIERI, KATHLEEN	\$2,775.15
25 CANTERBURY COURT	BLINEBRY, DONALD & LOPEZ, ESPERANZA	\$2,166.89
26 CANTERBURY COURT	FORSBERG, ERIC & THERESA	\$2,121.24
1 CARLISLE COURT	COLMAN, CHARLES & LAUREN	\$1,916.53
2 CARLISLE COURT	HERMES, LORE E.	\$2,517.73
3 CARLISLE COURT	LAYMAN, JAMES W KIESEL, MARJORIE J	\$2,621.97
4 CARLISLE COURT	OVERLAND, LEIGH	\$2,030.18
5 CARLISLE COURT	PANEPINTO, KEVIN M	\$2,517.73
6 CARLISLE COURT	MATTICE, JAMIE	\$2,673.26
7 CARLISLE COURT	NAME WITHHELD BY REQUEST	\$2,106.42
8 CARLISLE COURT	MESSINA, PHYLLIS A	\$2,006.42
1 CHATHAM COURT	DE JULIA, GERALDINE	\$1,971.36
2 CHATHAM COURT	SANGER, MADELINE	\$1,971.36

3 CHATHAM COURT	SUDOL, ELIZABETH M	\$1,971.36
4 CHATHAM COURT	RUSSO, PAUL & RYAN	\$1,971.36
5 CHATHAM COURT	MULLEN, LILLIAN C	\$2,088.07
6 CHATHAM COURT	CAMPBELL, ROBERT F	\$1,971.36
7 CHATHAM COURT	WOLF, HANNAH L	\$1,971.36
8 CHATHAM COURT	BARRETT, TIMOTHY J	\$1,971.36
9 CHATHAM COURT	GIANNINI, AMANDA	\$1,971.36
10 CHATHAM COURT	RALPH, DIANE & JENNIFER	\$1,971.36
11 CHATHAM COURT	FREDERICKS, M, BRUNDAGE, B, SCHALICH, M	\$1,916.53
12 CHATHAM COURT	MCGORTY, CHARLES & JUDITH	\$1,916.53
13 CHATHAM COURT	LALO, LLC	\$1,916.53
14 CHATHAM COURT	FREEMAN, PATRICIA	\$1,916.53
15 CHATHAM COURT	KROMPINGER, HEIDI	\$2,030.18
16 CHATHAM COURT	CERRONE RACHEL	\$1,916.53
17 CHATHAM COURT	MACIEJAK, BARBARA	\$1,916.53
18 CHATHAM COURT	GRIFFIN CATHY J	\$2,343.60
19 CHATHAM COURT	HECKMANN DILLON T	\$1,916.53
20 CHATHAM COURT	BISHOP, MICHAEL	\$1,916.53
1 CHELSEA COURT	KOMLO, MARGARET ANN & DEANNE	\$2,680.08
2 CHELSEA COURT	DEL BIONDO, ROSARIA	\$2,473.25
3 CHELSEA COURT	HILLER, BRADLEY D	\$2,671.38
4 CHELSEA COURT	D'ARCANGELO, LUIGI & ROCCO & DELLA	\$2,473.25
5 CHELSEA COURT	BORUCINSKI, ADRIAN	\$2,671.38
6 CHELSEA COURT	GLASNER, MURRAY & LAURA	\$2,449.96
7 CHELSEA COURT	GAYTAN, CRYSTAL & SALIM, MIKHAEL	\$2,745.97
1 CHESHIRE COURT	THE CLARY FAMILY NEEDS TRUST	\$1,916.53
2 CHESHIRE COURT	ZINCHUK, YURIY	\$2,517.73
3 CHESHIRE COURT	LANDERS, DONALD & KAREN	\$2,621.97
4 CHESHIRE COURT	LUGINBUHL, DONALD	\$2,030.18
5 CHESHIRE COURT	MURANO, KAREN E	\$2,517.73
6 CHESHIRE COURT	HELGESEN, LISA M	\$2,673.26
7 CHESHIRE COURT	PERROTTI, PHILIP A	\$2,106.42
8 CHESHIRE COURT	EATON, ROBERT D	\$2,253.48
1 DERBY COURT	GRANDER IRIT	\$2,895.39
2 DERBY COURT	MCCAULEY, JAMES & EVELYN	\$2,186.42
3 DERBY COURT	KLUGLEIN NICOLE	\$2,473.25
4 DERBY COURT	MARPHA LLC	\$2,186.42
5 DERBY COURT	SCHULTZ, JOSEPH F JR & DIANE	\$2,186.42
6 DERBY COURT	RUSSO, RYAN, KIM & PAUL	\$2,879.39
7 DERBY COURT	STERN, KAREN	\$2,232.78
8 DERBY COURT	DUDHEDIA, MAYUR S & JYOTI M	\$2,671.38
9 DERBY COURT	GRASA, JULIA	\$2,232.78

10 DERBY COURT	RUDOLF, STEVEN	\$2,232.78
11 DERBY COURT	ROONEY, CLAIRMARIE	\$2,186.42
12 DERBY COURT	MARPHA, LLC	\$2,232.78
13 DERBY COURT	KINKADE, NANCY	\$2,232.78
14 DERBY COURT	BLT PRIME, LLC	\$2,186.42
1 EDEN COURT	PATERNELLA, JOHN & ELIA	\$2,306.66
2 EDEN COURT	LANZILLI, DIANE	\$2,328.07
3 EDEN COURT	GRAMLICH, MARGARETE	\$2,031.12
4 EDEN COURT	KOVACS, CRAIG D	\$2,158.89
5 EDEN COURT	HICKEY, KATHRYN MARY	\$1,996.30
6 EDEN COURT	HERONIMEK, KATARZYNA & TADEUSZ	\$2,083.12
7 EDEN COURT	COMMAILLE, LOURDES M	\$1,996.30
8 EDEN COURT	HILLCREST SUMMIT UNLIMITED LLC	\$2,083.12
9 EDEN COURT	LIONETTI, ROSEANNE	\$2,306.66
10 EDEN COURT	HADDY, BROOK	\$2,328.07
11 EDEN COURT	SANTRY, MARIE	\$2,012.06
12 EDEN COURT	FENNELL, TERESA & KING, MARIE M	\$2,158.89
13 EDEN COURT	DARLING, BRENDA C/O THE TERBRUSCH LAW FIRM	\$1,983.12
14 EDEN COURT	MARTIN, ELIZABETH A	\$2,158.89
15 EDEN COURT	HOOD STEPHEN T	\$2,306.66
16 EDEN COURT	COLUCCI MICHELLE L	\$2,328.07
17 EDEN COURT	GAROFALO, JEFFREY	\$2,031.12
18 EDEN COURT	MARTINEZ, ROSANA	\$2,158.89
19 EDEN COURT	HAMILTON, FREDERICK & BERTHA	\$2,306.66
20 EDEN COURT	WATSON, JOHN & IRENE	\$2,357.25
21 EDEN COURT	SMITH, THOMAS H JR	\$2,306.66
22 EDEN COURT	TATROE, THERESA L	\$2,328.07
23 EDEN COURT	TRAVER, WARREN & GEORGIANNA & NICOLE	\$2,095.60
24 EDEN COURT	FUDALE, ALICE J	\$2,158.89
1 ESSEX COURT	EMMA, PAUL & LINDA	\$1,916.53
2 ESSEX COURT	PETIPRIN MARK E	\$2,517.73
3 ESSEX COURT	MANNING, JAMES	\$2,621.97
4 ESSEX COURT	BHATTI, MANPREET & SUKHJIVAN	\$2,030.18
5 ESSEX COURT	IKOMI, OTIMEYIN ANDREW c/o IKOMI, AMA	\$2,517.73
6 ESSEX COURT	AFKARI, MINOO	\$2,673.26
7 ESSEX COURT	PANZARINO, CAROL & MICHAEL	\$2,106.42
8 ESSEX COURT	HEFFERNAN, GREGORY	\$2,006.42
9 ESSEX COURT	BECKER, BARBARA	\$2,621.97
10 ESSEX COURT	TEICHER, ARTHUR & MARILYN KROHN	\$2,517.73
11 ESSEX COURT	TUREK, AMELIA	\$1,916.53
12 ESSEX COURT	ZHOU, LILI	\$2,698.20
13 ESSEX COURT	FRANCOMANO, THOMAS A	\$2,517.73
14 ESSEX COURT	MILLER, JOSEPH & JENNIFER	\$2,030.18

15 ESSEX COURT	PRICE, CHRISTINE	\$2,006.42
16 ESSEX COURT	BOMBERO, CECELIA S	\$2,070.18
17 ESSEX COURT	COOPER, CHRISTOPHER & DEBRORAH	\$1,916.53
18 ESSEX COURT	RUSSO, NICHOLAS	\$1,916.53
19 ESSEX COURT	SANTARSIERO, GAY A	\$1,916.53
20 ESSEX COURT	FINNEGAN, JULIENNE	\$1,916.53
21 ESSEX COURT	LALONDE, KATHY	\$1,916.53
22 ESSEX COURT	WALLACE, CHRISTOPHER M	\$1,916.53
23 ESSEX COURT	RUSSO, RYAN	\$1,916.53
24 ESSEX COURT	COOPER, ELISE R	\$2,030.18
25 ESSEX COURT	GMN INVESTMENT PROPERTIES LLC	\$1,916.53
26 ESSEX COURT	BEANLAND, BRIAN A	\$1,916.53

1 FALMOUTH COURT	DIGUISEPPI, F.E.	\$2,473.25
2 FALMOUTH COURT	AUGUSTO, ALAN	\$2,355.60
3 FALMOUTH COURT	RYDZAK, STANLEY	\$2,355.60
4 FALMOUTH COURT	FROEHLICH, JOSEPH	\$3,053.04
5 FALMOUTH COURT	HO BRIAN	\$2,568.55
6 FALMOUTH COURT	HIGIN, HAN	\$2,365.72
7 FALMOUTH COURT	DARCO, LUANN	\$2,745.97
8 FALMOUTH COURT	ABBETT, LINDA& MARILYN	\$2,418.90
9 FALMOUTH COURT	GABISCH, ANN MARIE	\$2,186.42
10 FALMOUTH COURT	MAGUIRE, JUDITH A	\$2,418.90
11 FALMOUTH COURT	CAPOTE, DEBORAH	\$2,671.38
12 FALMOUTH COURT	CLARK, CHRISTOPHER	\$2,232.78
14 FALMOUTH COURT	MALEK, MARIE J	\$2,685.73
15 FALMOUTH COURT	DONNELLY, BRYAN	\$2,106.42
16 FALMOUTH COURT	HONGBIN, YU & SONG, DI	\$2,630.20
17 FALMOUTH COURT	BELLAMY CAMERON & SUSAN	\$2,473.25
18 FALMOUTH COURT	DIVINE, CAROL	\$2,583.85
19 FALMOUTH COURT	O'CONNELL, PATRICIA	\$2,745.97
20 FALMOUTH COURT	DORNE, SHARON	\$2,684.08

1 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	SANTI, CARLO & SHARON	\$2,955.15
2 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	GERSHMAN, JACQUELINE	\$3,070.45
3 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	STAUFFER, ARMENOUHI Y	\$1,953.00
4 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	BURGOS, ISMAEL	\$1,916.53
5 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	DIMICCO, D & F & WILLIS, M	\$1,916.53
6 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	MACHOVEC, MARIE V	\$1,916.53
7 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	BARTOLO, JAMES M	\$2,030.18
8 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	PHILIPPI, VERONICA	\$1,916.53
9 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	HOWIE, LEIGH E	\$1,916.53
10 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	HURGIN, KATHI	\$1,916.53
11 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	MITCHELL, MICHAEL J	\$1,916.53
12 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	FIRMENDER, WAYNE P	\$1,916.53

13 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	MCKENNEY, MICHAEL & BARBARA	\$1,916.53
14 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	DOENIAS, RENEE	\$2,517.73
15 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	UZIEL, ALBERT & BARBARA	\$2,621.97
16 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	REID, GREGORY J	\$2,030.18
17 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	AFKARI, MINOO	\$2,517.73
18 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	BROWN, KATHLEEN	\$2,673.26
19 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	SARSFIELD, BETH c/o KATHY COLAVITO	\$2,327.13
20 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	GORMAN, REGINA M	\$2,327.13
21 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	PACCHIANA TRUST, LAWRENCE PATRICK	\$2,327.13
22 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	MARSHALL, AMANDA	\$2,327.13
23 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	MCGETRICK, ATTORNEY MICHAEL	\$2,327.13
24 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	RADER, MARK A	\$2,327.13
25 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	MURPHY, EILEEN & CLAIRE	\$2,327.13
26 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	HALLAS, DONALD & LAURIE	\$2,465.02
27 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	HOOK FAMILY TRUST	\$2,190.42
28 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	HOOK FAMILY TRUST	\$2,190.42
29 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	HALLAS, DONALD & LAURIE	\$1,971.36
30 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	CAPPELLO, JOSEPH	\$1,971.36
31 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	LUCIANO, JOHN	\$1,971.36
32 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	STAUFFER, ARMENOUHI Y	\$1,971.36
33 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	MASTRODDI, JOHN L	\$2,088.07
34 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	CHACH, THERESA	\$1,971.36
35 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	CONNOLLY, MARY T	\$1,971.36
36 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	SLATTERY, DOROTHY	\$1,971.36
37 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	DEVALK, JARED S	\$1,971.36
38 ROLLINGWOOD DRIVE	CUNNINGHAM, JOSEPH & DEBRA	\$1,971.36
1 TARA DRIVE	THOMPSON, JANE c/o DIANE FOSSI	\$2,239.36
2 TARA DRIVE	NOVAK, MICHAEL B & REGINA T	\$2,519.84
3 TARA DRIVE	VANBEZOOIJEN, ELIZABETH	\$2,955.15
4 TARA DRIVE	KOMLO, JOSEPH & MARGARET ANN	\$2,403.61
5 TARA DRIVE	PAGEL, ROBERT & ROSEMARY	\$2,671.38
6 TARA DRIVE	LIANG, JIE-LI & JUAN	\$3,070.45
7 TARA DRIVE	WILL, DAVID J	\$2,355.60
8 TARA DRIVE	GRAMLING, LOUIS E	\$2,473.25
9 TARA DRIVE	RUSSO RYAN	\$2,355.60
10 TARA DRIVE	NAKOS-PARASHIS, ANGELIKI	\$2,355.60
11 TARA DRIVE	GILLIS, ELIZABETH & MURPHY, RAYMOND	\$2,671.38
12 TARA DRIVE	KILCOURSE, TARA	\$2,365.72
13 TARA DRIVE	IRVING, BETTY	\$2,365.72
14 TARA DRIVE	D/M PROPERTY INVESTMENTS LLC	\$2,792.09
265 FEDERAL ROAD	265 FEDERAL ROAD LLC	\$21,673.72