

**APPROVED MINUTES
BROOKFIELD ZONING COMMISSION
Thursday, February 26, 2015 – 7:00 p.m.
MEETING ROOM #133 – TOWN HALL, 100 POCONO ROAD**

1. Convene Meeting

Acting and Vice Chairman Grimes convened the meeting at 7:00 p.m. and established a quorum of members.

Present: Chairman R. Blessey (arrived at 7:08 p.m.), Vice Chairman M. Grimes, Secretary F. Lollie, Alternates and Voting Members J. D'Entremont and M. Frankel

Absent: J. Cordisco, E. Kukk

Also Present: Zoning Enforcement A. Dew, Recording Secretary D. Cioffi

- a. **Review Minutes of Previous Meetings: 2/5/15 – Secretary F. Lollie moved to approve the Minutes of the February 5, 2015 meeting. Commissioner D'Entremont seconded the motion, and it carried, 3-0-1, with Commissioner Frankel abstaining.**

2. Land Use Enforcement

a. **Enforcement Officer's Report:**

Requests for Voluntary Compliance

291 Federal Rd., No Activity # - A new tenant does not have a Certificate of Zoning Compliance or a repairer's license. A Request for Voluntary Compliance was sent on February 11, 2015. **Acting and Vice Chairman Grimes moved to issue a Cease and Desist to 291 Federal Road, if no response by March 11, 2015. Secretary Lollie seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.**

6 Lillinonah Dr., No Activity # - Two unregistered cars remain in an undesignated drive. ZEO Dew inspected recently, and the cars are buried under snow. She will revisit the site by March 12, 2015.

51 Berkshire Dr., #201401153 – The property owners are present to discuss this item later on the agenda.

33 White Pine Dr., #201400991 – This property owner is also present. Additionally, there is a letter in the Commissioners' packets related to this matter.

Town Counsel Action

101 Laurel Hill Rd., #201300758 – Upon inspection on February 23, 2015, ZEO Dew noted that vehicles have moved around on the lot, however, the snow has halted work.

51 Junction Rd., #201400580 – ZEO Dew advised that the snow has hindered her inspecting to see what work has been done. She will re-inspect at the beginning of March.

44 Old Middle Rd., #201301041 – ZEO Dew advised the paperwork is complete and she will consult Attorney Beecher.

1 High Ridge Rd., #201000315 – No change. The judgment lien has been filed.

14 Oak Grove Rd., #201300832 – ZEO Dew will speak with Attorney Beecher regarding the status of this matter.

148 North Lakeshore, #20131115 – Attorney Beecher sent the property owner another letter and Citation. Everything has been returned, as the property owner has not accepted the certified mail. He had previously advised the Wetlands Office he would be stopping by to complete paperwork for that department. If he does not, she will have the Citation delivered by Marshal.

Secretary Lollie moved to go to Agenda Item 7.a. Commissioner D'Entremont seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

7. New Business:

a. **51 Berkshire Dr. #201500096: Single Family Conversion for a 725 sq. ft. apartment**
B. and G. Gettler, 51 Berkshire were present. ZEO Dew advised that this unpermitted apartment was brought to her attention by the Health Department. Mr. Gettler indicated that the apartment was

present when he purchased the house in 2005. He advised he was attempting to get a Certificate of Occupancy on the garage. There is an office/closet in the garage, which could be considered a bedroom. Upon checking the septic, it was determined that there was no permit. There was discussion regarding work done in February 1989 by the previous owner that could not be verified because it is in another file.

Chairperson Blessey arrived at 7:08 p.m.

Commissioner Frankel reviewed the regulations. Mr. Gettler advised that there are people living in the apartment now. He indicated he was not aware of the specific wording of the regulation requiring a relation between the resident and property owner. Upon inquiry from Vice Chairman Grimes, Mr. and Mrs. Gettler advised that since purchasing the house, they have rented to two parties. Chairman Blessey pointed out the need for some type of relation between the owner and renter to exist. He advised that the intent of the regulation is to provide relief to property owners that need assistance in the home, and to discourage multi-family residences from forming. Vice Chairman Grimes, ZEO Dew, and Secretary Lollie disagreed. They believed the intent was to assist in providing income to elderly people. Commissioner D'Entremont advised he agreed with Chairman Blessey's interpretation. Chairman Blessey noted that the Commission spent a great deal of time interpreting the term "relation" broadly.

Secretary Lollie inquired who is renting the apartment at present, and Mrs. Gettler indicated that they were an engaged college couple. She advised that she and her husband are trying to comply with the Town's regulations. Vice Chairman Grimes reiterated his disagreement that the intent of the regulation was to require a "relation". Chairman Blessey suggested that this matter be tabled in order to obtain a legal opinion from the Town Attorney.

Vice Chairman Grimes moved to table 51 Berkshire Dr., #201500096, to the next regularly scheduled meeting in order to obtain the Town Attorney's opinion on this matter. Secretary Lollie seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

The property owners were requested to return for the March 12, 2015 meeting. Vice Chairman Grimes requested that the full file on this matter be available at that time.

b. 33 White Pine Dr. #201500097: Single Family Conversion for a 400 sq. ft. apartment

J. Castelino, 33 White Pine Dr., was present. ZEO Dew advised that a tenant was being evicted. She sent a letter to the property owner, and he came into the Land Use Office, and there was discussion regarding making the property in compliance.

Upon inquiry from Secretary Lollie, Mr. Castelino indicated he lives at the house. He advised Vice Chairman Grimes that he has been renting on and off for 3-4 years, and has had 3 different tenants. At present, no one is living there, as the last tenant was evicted after some difficulty. Chairman Blessey advised him of the requirements for single family conversions, and Commissioner Frankel read the regulation.

Mr. Castelino indicated that he thought he was only being asked was to evict the tenant. He noted that the previous owner was renting the apartment, as it existed prior to Mr. Castelino's purchase. Chairman Blessey stated that because he is not renting it, no violation of any regulation exists, and no further action is required. He explained that if Mr. Castelino intends to rent the apartment, then he needs to file an application, and the Town Attorney's review of the regulation that governs the

previous matter will affect who you can rent it to. Mr. Castelino advised he will await Town Counsel ruling.

Acting and Vice Chairman Grimes moved to table 33 White Pine Dr., #201500097, to the next regularly scheduled meeting. Secretary Lollie seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

4. Public Hearing 7:30 p.m.

a. Proposed Regulation Change #201500053: Section 242-309C(2) – Nonconforming Buildings and Structures and Vertical Expansion

1. Response from WPCA received 2/11/15 (no comments)
2. Response memo from Planning Commission to Zoning Commission dated 2/11/15

Chairman Blessey opened the Public Hearing and introduced the Commission members. Secretary Lollie read the legal notice for all three public hearings this evening, which was published in the Pennysaver on February 12, 2015 and February 19, 2015. Chairman Blessey advised that the application was received on February 5, 2015. He then reviewed the policies and procedures that govern public hearings.

Secretary Lollie read the above-listed correspondence, as well as a memo from HVCEO related to all three public hearings.

Chairman Blessey advised that the Commission is the applicant, and he reviewed the current regulation, as well as the proposed change, which reads, “An addition to a non-conforming building or structure, whereby the added portion conforms in all respects to the regulations, and whereby the addition does not cause the use, building, or lot to become more non-conforming may be permitted to the issuance of a Certificate of Zoning Compliance. A vertical expansion of a non-conforming building or structure, which is legally non-conforming as to one or more setback requirements, is a prohibited expansion of that non-conformity, to the extent that the proposed vertical expansion in and of itself violates applicable setback requirements.” He indicated that if a non-conforming building is that way due to a setback, and the building is added on vertically, that is making the building more increasingly non-conforming. If the building is non-conforming, but within setbacks, if you build up, it is not an expansion of the non-conformity, but rather, an intensification of the non-conforming use. The intensification is permitted per State statute.

J. Grimes, was present. He disagreed with that a vertical expansion increases the non-conformity. Chairman Blessey advised that the proposed language is the opinion of the Town Attorney. A non-conformity that does not relate to the setback is not related to the neighboring property. Mr. Grimes stated he felt that the proposed language is inconsistent, because the regulations do not propose different setbacks for different vertical heights.

There were no further questions of clarification.

It was stated that Attorney Beecher wrote the regulation upon the Commission’s request for his opinion.

J. Grimes noted that historically, variances for setbacks do not limit height.

No one was present to speak in favor of the application. J. Grimes was present, and spoke in opposition to the application because he feels it takes away the rights of property owners that have

pre-existing non-conformities on their property, and the proposal goes outside of the intent of the setback regulations as they exist now.

Commissioner Frankel moved to close the Public Hearing Proposed Regulation Change #201500053: Section 242-309C(2) – Nonconforming Buildings and Structures and Vertical Expansion. Vice Chairman Grimes seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

Commissioner Frankel moved to approve the proposed text for Proposed Regulation Change #201500053: Section 242-309C(2) – Nonconforming Buildings and Structures and Vertical Expansion, as written and as read, as she feels it is a good regulation as stated in the Chairman’s presentation of the application; if a property has a non-conformity, the Zoning Commission is seeking not to increase the height of the non-conformity. Secretary Lollie seconded the motion. Chairman Blessey stated he agreed with Mr. Grimes. He indicated he has spoken with several town attorneys regarding this matter, and he does not agree with Attorney Beecher on this matter. He noted that if a property is non-conforming, the non-conformity becomes acceptable at the time it comes into existence, and this is the legal setback. Secretary Lollie discussed a vertical addition he put on his pre-existing, non-conforming structure in 2000 that necessitated a variance application. Chairman Blessey indicated that there is Connecticut case law that supports vertical expansion of a pre-existing, non-conforming structure as intensification, not an expansion. He advised that the previous Town Counsel agreed with him. Secretary Lollie stated that there needs to be consistent guidelines regarding this matter. Commissioner D’Entremont indicated he does not feel applicants should be able to expand vertically. Motion carried, 3-2, with Chairman Blessey and Vice Chairman Grimes opposing. The regulation change will become effective upon publication.

b. Proposed Regulation Change #201500052: Section 242-202 and Section 242-501: Drive-Through Restaurants (to become drive-through facility)

1. Response from WPCA received 2/11/15 (no comments)
2. Response memo from Planning Commission to Zoning Commission dated 2/11/15

Chairman Blessey advised that the introduction for the previous public hearing would be utilized for this application. There were no objections. The same legal notice is also applicable. Secretary Lollie read the above-listed items of correspondence and noted that the memo from HVCEO was read during the prior hearing.

Chairman Blessey advised that this application, by the Zoning Commission, changes the use table, and will allow drive-through sales in the same zone that drive-through restaurants are allowed. “Restaurant” will now become “Facility”.

R. Ellis, 66 North Lakeshore Dr., was present. He inquired if a bank would be considered in this change, and Chairman Blessey indicated it would. Additionally, upon inquiry from Vice Chairman Grimes, Chairman Blessey confirmed that a pharmacy would also be included.

There were no additional questions of clarification. No one was present to speak in favor of the application, nor was anyone present to speak in opposition.

Vice Chairman Grimes moved to close the Public Hearing re: Proposed Regulation Change #201500052: Section 242-202 and Section 242-501: Drive-Through Restaurants (to become drive-through facility). Secretary Lollie seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

Vice Chairman Grimes moved to approve Proposed Regulation Change #201500052: Section 242-202 and Section 242-501: Drive-Through Restaurants (to become drive-through facility), as it clarifies the regulation. Secretary Lollie seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously. The regulation change will become effective upon publication.

c. **Proposed Regulation Change #201500050: Section 242-202 and 242-501: Brewery and Brewpub / restaurants**

1. Response from WPCA received 2/11/15 (no comments)
2. Response memo from Planning Commission to Zoning Commission dated 2/11/15

Chairman Blessey advised that the introduction for the previous public hearing would be utilized for this application. There were no objections. The same legal notice is also applicable. Secretary Lollie read the above-listed items of correspondence and noted that the memo from HVCEO was read during the prior hearing. He also read an email from P. Raffle, February 25, 2015, to ZEO Dew, which is in the file.

Chairman Blessey advised that the Town Attorney has not completed his review of the language that he is proposing.

There were no questions of clarification.

Commissioner Frankel inquired if a subsection (c) should be added to require a special permit, for hours, parking, etc. Vice Chairman Grimes stated it would depend on whether the particular application was for a brewery or a brewpub/restaurant. It was reiterated that the Town Attorney has not finished his review.

Vice Chairman Grimes moved to continue the Public Hearing re: Proposed Regulation Change #201500050: Section 242-202 and 242-501: Brewery and Brewpub / restaurants, to the next regularly scheduled meeting at 7:30 p.m. Secretary Lollie seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

5. Continued Public Hearing:

- a. **101, 103 & 105 Laurel Hill Rd #201401132: Design Review for a mixed use with 98 Residential Units and 8,600 square foot of Commercial buildings (1 extension day used – 30 extension days granted by applicant: ph close date 3/27/15)**
1. Letter from Bob Hebert of Laurel Hill Partners to A. Dew dated 2/10/15 Re: Extension days for Application #201401132 Public Hearing
 2. Response report of questions concerning Application #201401132 prepared by J.F.M. Engineering, Inc. dated 2/9/15 Re: Multi-Family / Mixed Use Development
 3. Report prepared by Environmental Land Solutions, LLC dated 2/9/25 Re: Response to Wetland Consultant Multi-Use Development in a Brookfield Incentive Housing District – 101-103 Laurel Hill Rd
 4. “Site Engineering Report” prepared by J.F.M. Engineering, Inc dated 2/9/15 – (Abridged Version – dated 2/17/15) *Full report is on cart*
 5. Memo from A. Dew (ZEO) to “file” for 101 Laurel Hill Rd dated 10/2/14 revised 2/17/15 Re: Pre-Review of Plans Dated 9/18/14; Review of plans submitted 1/17/15
 6. Map titled “Footcandle Plan” prepared by Environmental Land Solutions dated 11/20/14 revised 2/9/15 – sheet LP 5
 7. **Bond Estimate Sheet prepared by J.F.M. Engineering, Inc. dated 2/16/15 for \$65,309.00**

8. **Incentive Housing Restriction Document received in Land Use 2/18/15**
9. **Map Cover Sheet titled “Proposed Multi-Family Mixed Use Development – 101-103 Laurel Hill Road, Brookfield, Connecticut prepared by Environmental Land Solutions, LLC and MacMillan Architects dated 2/9/15**
 - “Existing Conditions & Demolition Plan” dated 11/20/14 revised thru 2/9/15 – sheet EX1
 - “Site Development Revised Layout Plan” dated 11/20/14 revised thru 2/9/15 – sheet SD1
 - “Site Development – North Grading Plan (Sheet 1 of 2) dated 12/22/14 revised thru 2/9/15 – sheet SD2N
 - “Site Development – South Grading Plan (Sheet 2 of 2) dated 11/20/14 revised thru 2/9/15 – sheet SD2S
 - “Site Development – North Utility, Sewer & Drainage Plan (Sheet 1 of 2) dated 12/22/14 revised 2/9/15 – sheet SD3N
 - “Site Development – South Utility, Sewer & Drainage Plan (Sheet 2 of 2) dated 11/20/14 revised thru 2/9/15 – sheet SD3S
 - “Sanitary Sewer Extension Plan & Profile – North End (Sheet 1 of 2) dated 11/20/14 revised thru 2/9/15 – sheet SD4N
 - “Sanitary Sewer Extension Plan & Profile – South End (Sheet 2 of 2) dated 11/20/14 revised thru 2/9/15 – sheet SD4S
 - “Site Development Details (Sheet 1 of 4) dated 11/20/14 revised thru 2/9/15 – sheet SD5A
 - “Site Development Details” (Sheet 2 of 4) dated 11/20/14 revised thru 2/9/15 – sheet SD5B
 - “Site Development Details” (Sheet 3 of 4) dated 11/20/14 revised thru 2/9/15 – sheet SD5C
 - “Site Development Details” (Sheet 4 of 4) dated 11/20/14 revised thru 2/9/15 – sheet SD5D
 - “S&E Control Plan (Sheet 1 of 2) dated 11/20/14 revised thru 2/9/15 - sheet SD6A
 - “S&E Control Details (Sheet 1 of 1)” dated 11/20/14 revised thru 2/9/15 – sheet SD6B
 - “Landscape and Lighting Plan 20 Scale Site – North End (Sheet 1 of 2) dated 11/20/14 revised thru 2/9/15 – sheet LP-1
 - “Landscape and Lighting Plan 20 Scale Site – south End (Sheet 2 of 2) dated 11/20/14 revised thru 2/9/15 – sheet LP2
 - “Landscape Details” dated 11/20/14 revised 2/9/15 – sheet LP3
 - “Wetland Mitigation Details and Notes” dated 11/20/14 revised 2/9/15 – sheet LP4
 - “Elevations – Building A” prepared by MacMillan Architect dated 11/20/14 revised 2/9/15 – sheet A-200
 - “Elevations – Entry Elevations – Building B, C & D” dated 2/9/15 – sheet BLDG 200
 - “Plan & Elevation – Building E” dated 1/22/15 revised 2/9/15 – sheet E-101
 - “Upper Level Plan Elevation – building E” dated 2/9/15 – sheet E-102
 - “Elevations – Building E” dated 2/9/15 – sheet E-200

Chairman Blessey left the room for a brief time, at which time, Vice Chairman Grimes became Acting Chair. ZEO Dew noted that there is a request for an extension. It was noted that the Public Hearing was opened on January 22, 2015. Acting and Vice Chairman Grimes noted that the applicant was present. He inquired of its representatives if anything has changed, and requested that any presentation be limited to those items only.

J. McCoy, Engineer, was present, for the applicant. He indicated that the applicant attended an Inland Wetlands Commission meeting on February 23, 2015, and changes were made in response to that.

At 8:09 p.m., Chairman Blessey returned, and Secretary Lollie left for a brief time, and returned at 8:13 p.m.

It was noted that the change of a building location was done in response to a request from the IWC.

B. Hebert, Ridgefield, CT, the applicant, was also present.

Mr. McCoy advised that the following portions of the proposal have changed: the north building was rotated; a waiver is being requested to eliminate the loading zone, and to reduce the required parking

for one-bedroom units to 1.5 spaces rather than 2. In response to the Commission's request for revised calculations, he submitted a chart that summarized the various parking scenarios. He added that the applicant will not further reduce the one-bedroom, shared parking any further. Mr. McCoy advised that upon his review of proposals in other towns, this reduced number has been acceptable.

Vice Chairman Grimes inquired if any studies of those projects have been done, and Mr. McCoy offered to provide that information, drawing from specific projects and statements from owners

Chairman Blessey inquired what the real reason for the request for the waiver is; if it relates to a lack of square footage, or a desire to reduce costs. Mr. McCoy indicated he disagreed, that the current proposal has only 60% coverage to allow for better features, i.e., rain gardens.

Commissioner Frankel inquired if there is an area shown on the plans where spaces could be added if required. Mr. McCoy advised there are areas, but they are not included on these plans. He added that there is a higher number of handicapped spaces than what is required. Vice Chairman Grimes expressed his concern about lack of space for built-up snow. Mr. McCoy advised he has not had an issue on similar projects he has worked on. Commissioner Frankel inquired what the coverage percentage would be if the parking complied with the regulations, and Mr. McCoy was not sure of that number.

Chairman Blessey advised that the parking schedule was set so that there is always enough parking in this zone, so that the businesses and residences succeed. He reiterated his concern that there will not be enough parking. Vice Chairman Grimes indicated that he would like to see what Mr. McCoy has encountered in the other projects he referenced.

Commissioner Frankel requested more information regarding the shared parking, and Mr. McCoy reviewed where the residential and commercial uses would be. Commissioner Frankel then requested a detail without considering the commercial portion. Mr. McCoy advised that 176 spaces would be needed, and there are 166 in the back (88 underneath and the remainder out back), leaving a deficit of 2 in the front. Mr. McCoy advised that walks have been provided, and that building has mostly one-bedroom units. Commissioner Frankel indicated she prefers the idea of two parking spaces per unit, to allow for guest parking. Mr. McCoy reiterated he would provide information regarding prior projects, including statements of owners.

Commissioner Frankel inquired if there are any uses proposed for the commercial space yet, and Mr. McCoy advised there is not, however, he is utilizing the standard calculation of one space per 250 square feet. Mr. Hebert also noted that the units are not condominium units, but rather rental properties, and there is usually only a 93-95% occupancy rate, which will leave spaces empty.

Mr. McCoy will also show where other parking can go, and have the traffic engineer present at the next meeting.

There was brief discussion regarding the inefficiency of compact parking spaces.

Commissioner D'Entremont inquired about the waiver for the loading zone, and Mr. McCoy advised there would likely be deliveries only from UPS and FedEx.

Chairman Blessey noted a memo from the Water Source Committee just received today, that the applicant can have access tomorrow morning from the Land Use Office. After reviewing the letter, Mr. McCoy advised that some of the information is already on the plan, except for the sprinkler. He

pointed out where the proposed hydrants are on the plans. Chairman Blessey suggested that the applicant send a memo to the WSC about what it missed with its review.

D. McMillan, Architect for the project, was present. He review the four residential buildings, A-D. Mr. McMillan reviewed the roof, siding and clapboard materials, and noted that there will be four different rooflines, all with colonial-style architecture. Upon inquiry from Commissioner Frankel, Mr. McMillan advised that the shingles are architectural shingles made from hardy board and vinyl. With regard to Building E, he believes it will conform to the design guidelines for the Town Center District. ZEO Dew advised that the Town’s architectural consultant did review the plans.

Commissioner Frankel advised she did not need any additional information regarding the landscaping.

There were no questions from the audience.

Vice Chairman Grimes moved to continue the Public Hearing for 101, 103 & 105 Laurel Hill Rd #201401132: Design Review for a mixed use with 98 Residential Units and 8,600 square foot of Commercial buildings, until March 12, 2015, at 7:30 p.m., following the first continued public hearing. Secretary Lollie seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

- c. 15 Federal Road (GreenDrop) #201500099: 30 sq. ft. illuminated building sign and 25 sq. ft. roadside sign**

- 1. Wattage Plan prepared by Complete Imaging Corp dated 2/11/15

J. Coss, PA, was present. He indicated he wished to add a 30-foot illuminated letter sign to the front of the building at this site. In addition, he want to reface the existing sign with a 24 square foot sign. ZEO Dew indicated that although the application is for two signs, the Commission is only approving illuminated sign. The other sign is just being refaced. The page regarding the vinyl applications to the interior were removed, as that is not being approved by the Commission. The applicant agreed to withdraw that portion of the application.

Chairman Blessey moved to approve #201500099, 15 Federal Road (GreenDrop), for sign illumination. Commissioner D’Entremont seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

- d. 91 Commerce Drive #201500102: Certificate of Zoning Compliance for business going by the name of “Document Data Solutions, LLC” for fabrication and assembly of large format commercial grade ink jet printers.**

- 1. Materials Control Plan prepared by CCA, LLC dated February 2015

- Site Plan / Building Plan – Attachment A
- Tables – Attachment B
- MSDS Sheets – Attachment C
- Spill Control Supplies – Attachment D

R. Posthauer, P.E., CCA, 40 Old New Milford Rd., was present, with R. Ellis, 66 North Lake Shore Dr., were present.

Mr. Posthauer advised that the applicant wishes to move his existing business from Danbury to this lot in Brookfield. ZEO Dew reviewed the site, which sits in the aquifer protection zone. Chairman Blessey inquired if there were any concerns the Commission should have related to the manufacturing of components for ink jet printers that will occur on the site. Mr. Ellis explained that powder coating is used, which is not hazardous, and there is nothing in the machine process that he would consider hazardous. Mr. Posthauer referenced a letter in the file documenting that the volumes of other

chemicals, such as acetone, are small. He advised that he visited the existing site in Danbury, with environmental engineer.

Commissioner Frankel inquired regarding the waste disposal, and Mr. Ellis advised that the sheets of metal go into dumpster, and are picked up and recycled. The powder coating is swept up and put in the trash. There have not been any issues at the Danbury facility.

Upon inquiry from Commissioner D'Entremont, the applicant advised that there is no outside storage.

Chairman Blessey moved to approve #201500102, 91 Commerce Drive, for compliance with the zoning regulations for this use. Vice Chairman Grimes seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

3. Review Correspondence

- a. **Minutes of other Boards and Commissions: Inland Wetlands Commission; Zoning Board of Appeals; Planning Commission 1/22/15, 2/5/15; Zoning Sub-Committee**
- b. CT Federation of Planning & Zoning 67th Annual Conference Announcement –
March 26, 2015

No discussion/no motions.

6. Old Business: None.

8. Tabled Items: None.

9. Informal Discussion: None.
a.

10. Comments of Commissioners: None.

11. Adjourn: Vice Chairman Grimes moved to adjourn the meeting at 8:56 p.m. Commissioner Frankel seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

****Next Regular Meeting Scheduled for March 12, 2015****